IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL, CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION LODGING NO.2455 OF 2006

Maharashtra Rajya Suraksha..Petitioner

Rakshak & General Kamgar Union

Vs.

The Security Guards Board for

Greater Mumbai & Thane District

& Ors. .....Respondents

Ms.Vaishali Bhilare, for the Petitioners
Mr. M.S. Topkar, for Respondent No.1.
Mr. Mangesh Patel, for respondent No.2.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATE: 20TH OCTOBER,2006

P.C.

. It is the case of the petitioners that that after this petition was filed respondent No.2 terminated the agency of respondent and appointed another agency. Consequent thereupon services of the guards listed at Annexure "A" have been terminated. The guards listed at Annexure "A" are no longer in service of respondent No.2. On behalf of respondent No.1 learned Counsel makes a statement that the respondent No.2 has not been registered under the Act.

2. Considering the above, we dispose of the petition by issuing the following directions:-

(i) If the guards listed at Annexure "A" have already applied and if not applied they should do so within two weeks from today. The respondent No.1

within four weeks thereafter to consider the said application and pass appropriate orders in the matter of registering the guards listed at Exhibit "A" in accordance with law.

(ii) If Respondent No.2 is not registered the respondent No.1 to take steps to launch prosecution against respondent No.2.

. With the above directions, petition stands disposed of.

(F.I. REBELLO, J)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J).